

Noncommutative Multi-Instantons on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ Tatiana A. Ivanova[†] and Olaf Lechtenfeld^{*}

[†]*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR
141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia
Email: ita@thsun1.jinr.ru*

^{*}*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hannover
Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany
Email: lechtenf@itp.uni-hannover.de*

Abstract

Generalizing self-duality on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$ to higher dimensions, we consider the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau equations on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ and their noncommutative deformation for the gauge group $U(2)$. Imposing $SO(3)$ invariance (up to gauge transformations) reduces these equations to vortex-type equations for an abelian gauge field and a complex scalar on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$. For a special S^2 -radius R depending on the noncommutativity θ we find explicit solutions in terms of shift operators. These vortex-like configurations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$ determine $SO(3)$ -invariant multi-instantons on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S_R^2$ for $R = R(\theta)$. The latter may be interpreted as sub-branes of codimension $2n$ inside a coincident pair of noncommutative Dp -branes with an S^2 factor of suitable size.

1 Introduction

Noncommutative deformation is a well established framework for stretching the limits of conventional (classical and quantum) field theories [1, 2]. On the nonperturbative side, all celebrated classical field configurations have been generalized to the noncommutative realm. Of particular interest thereof are BPS configurations, which are subject to first-order nonlinear equations. The latter descend from the 4d Yang-Mills (YM) self-duality equations and have given rise to instantons [3], monopoles [4] and vortices [5], among others. Their noncommutative counterparts were introduced in [6], [7] and [8], respectively, and have been studied intensely for the past five years (see [9] for a recent review).

String/M theory embeds these efforts in a higher-dimensional context, and so it is important to formulate BPS-type equations in more than four dimensions. In fact, noncommutative instantons in higher dimensions and their brane interpretations have recently been considered in [10, 11, 12]. Yet already 20 years ago, generalized self-duality equations for YM fields in more than four dimensions were proposed [13, 14] and their solutions investigated e.g. in [14, 15]. For $U(k)$ gauge theory on a Kähler manifold these equations specialize to the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau (DUY) equations [16, 17]. They are the natural analogues of the 4d self-duality equations.

In this letter we generalize the DUY equations to the noncommutative spaces $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$ and construct explicit $U(2)$ multi-instanton solutions even though these equations are not integrable. The key lies in a clever ansatz for the gauge potential, due to Taubes [5], which we generalize to higher dimensions and to the noncommutative setting. This $SO(3)$ -invariant ansatz reduces the $U(2)$ DUY equations to vortex-type equations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$. For $n=1$ the latter are the standard vortex equations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^2$, while for $n=2$ they are intimately related to the Seiberg-Witten monopole equations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^4$ [18].

2 Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau equations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$

Manifold $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$. We consider the manifold $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ with the Riemannian metric

$$ds^2 = \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{2n} \delta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + R^2(d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n+2} g_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.1)$$

where $x^1, \dots, x^\mu, \dots, x^{2n}$ are coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ while $x^{2n+1}=\vartheta$ and $x^{2n+2}=\varphi$ parametrize the standard two-sphere S^2 with constant radius R , i.e. $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$ and $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$. The volume two-form on S^2 reads

$$\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi =: \omega_{\vartheta\varphi} d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi = \omega \implies \omega_{\vartheta\varphi} = -\omega_{\varphi\vartheta} = R^2 \sin \vartheta. \quad (2.2)$$

The manifold $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ is Kähler, with local complex coordinates $z^1, \dots, z^n, y$ where

$$z^a = x^{2a-1} - i x^{2a} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z}^a = x^{2a-1} + i x^{2a} \quad \text{with} \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$y = \frac{R \sin \vartheta}{(1 + \cos \vartheta)} \exp(-i\varphi) \quad , \quad \bar{y} = \frac{R \sin \vartheta}{(1 + \cos \vartheta)} \exp(i\varphi) \quad , \quad (2.4)$$

so that $1 + \cos \vartheta = \frac{2R^2}{R^2 + y\bar{y}}$. In these coordinates, the metric takes the form¹

$$ds^2 = \delta_{a\bar{b}} dz^a d\bar{z}^{\bar{b}} + \frac{4R^4}{(R^2 + y\bar{y})^2} dy d\bar{y} \quad (2.5)$$

with $\delta_{a\bar{a}} = \delta^{a\bar{a}} = 1$ (other entries vanish), and the Kähler two-form reads

$$\Omega = -\frac{i}{2} \left\{ \delta_{a\bar{b}} dz^a \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{b}} + \frac{4R^4}{(R^2 + y\bar{y})^2} dy \wedge d\bar{y} \right\} = -\frac{i}{2} \delta_{a\bar{b}} dz^a \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{b}} + \omega_{\vartheta\varphi} d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi. \quad (2.6)$$

For later use, we also note here the derivatives

$$\partial_{z^a} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{2a-1} + i\partial_{2a}) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{2a-1} - i\partial_{2a}), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\partial_\mu \equiv \partial/\partial x^\mu$ for $\mu=1, \dots, 2n$.

Classical field theory on the noncommutative deformation $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ may be realized in a star-product formulation or in an operator formalism. While the first approach alters the product of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ the second one turns these functions f into linear operators $\hat{f}$ acting on the n -harmonic-oscillator Fock space $\mathcal{H}$. The noncommutative space $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$ may then be defined by declaring its coordinate functions $\hat{x}^1, \dots, \hat{x}^{2n}$ to obey the Heisenberg algebra relations

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu} \quad (2.8)$$

with a constant antisymmetric tensor $\theta^{\mu\nu}$. The coordinates can be chosen in such a way that the matrix $(\theta^{\mu\nu})$ will be block-diagonal with non-vanishing components

$$\theta^{2a-1, 2a} = -\theta^{2a, 2a-1} =: \theta^a. \quad (2.9)$$

We assume that all $\theta^a \geq 0$; the general case does not hide additional complications. For the noncommutative version of the complex coordinates (2.3) we have

$$[\hat{z}^a, \hat{\bar{z}}^{\bar{b}}] = -2\delta^{a\bar{b}}\theta^a =: \theta^{a\bar{b}} = -\theta^{\bar{b}a} \leq 0, \quad \text{and all other commutators vanish.} \quad (2.10)$$

The Fock space $\mathcal{H}$ is spanned by the basis states

$$|k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\rangle = \prod_{a=1}^n (2\theta^a k_a!)^{-1/2} (\hat{z}^a)^{k_a} |0\rangle \quad \text{for } k_a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.11)$$

which are connected by the action of creation and annihilation operators subject to

$$\left[\frac{\hat{\bar{z}}^{\bar{b}}}{\sqrt{2\theta^{\bar{b}}}}, \frac{\hat{z}^a}{\sqrt{2\theta^a}} \right] = \delta^{a\bar{b}}. \quad (2.12)$$

We recall that, in the operator realization $f \mapsto \hat{f}$, derivatives of f get mapped according to

$$\partial_{z^a} f \mapsto \theta_{a\bar{b}} [\hat{\bar{z}}^{\bar{b}}, \hat{f}] =: \partial_{\hat{z}^a} \hat{f} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} f \mapsto \theta_{\bar{a}b} [\hat{z}^b, \hat{f}] =: \partial_{\hat{\bar{z}}^{\bar{a}}} \hat{f}, \quad (2.13)$$

¹From now on we use the Einstein summation convention for repeated indices.

where $\theta_{a\bar{b}}$ is defined via $\theta_{b\bar{c}}\theta^{\bar{c}a} = \delta_b^a$ so that $\theta_{a\bar{b}} = -\theta_{\bar{b}a} = \frac{\delta_{a\bar{b}}}{2\theta^a}$. Finally, we have to replace

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} d^n x f \mapsto \left(\prod_{a=1}^n 2\pi\theta^a \right) \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \hat{f} . \quad (2.14)$$

Tensoring $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$ with a commutative S^2 means extending the noncommutativity matrix θ by vanishing entries in the two new directions. A more detailed description of noncommutative field theories can be found in the review papers [2].

Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau equations. Let M_{2q} be a complex $q=n+1$ dimensional Kähler manifold with some local real coordinates $x = (x^i)$ and a tangent space basis $\partial_i := \partial/\partial x^i$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, 2q$, so that a metric and the Kähler two-form read $ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$ and $\Omega = \Omega_{ij}dx^i \wedge dx^j$, respectively. Consider a rank k complex vector bundle over M_{2q} with a gauge potential $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_i dx^i$ and the curvature two-form $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$ with components $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = \partial_i \mathcal{A}_j - \partial_j \mathcal{A}_i + [\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j]$. Both $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$ take values in the Lie algebra $u(k)$. The Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau (DUY) equations [16, 17] on M_{2q} are

$$*\Omega \wedge \mathcal{F} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{0,2} = 0 , \quad (2.15)$$

where Ω is the Kähler two-form, $\mathcal{F}^{0,2}$ is the $(0,2)$ part of $\mathcal{F}$, and $*$ is the Hodge operator. In our local coordinates (x^i) we have $q!(\Omega \wedge \mathcal{F}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F})\Omega^q = \Omega^{ij}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\Omega^q$ where Ω^{ij} are defined via $\Omega^{ij}\Omega_{jk} = \delta_k^i$. Due to the antihermiticity of $\mathcal{F}$, it follows that also $\mathcal{F}^{2,0} = 0$. For $q=2$ the DUY equations (2.15) coincide with the anti-self-dual Yang-Mills (ASDYM) equations

$$*\mathcal{F} = -\mathcal{F} \quad (2.16)$$

introduced in [3].

Specializing now M_{2q} to be $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$, the DUY equations (2.15) in the local complex coordinates (z^a, y) take the form

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}}\mathcal{F}_{z^a \bar{z}^b} + \frac{(R^2 + y\bar{y})^2}{4R^4}\mathcal{F}_{y\bar{y}} = 0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \bar{z}^b} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \bar{y}} = 0 , \quad (2.17)$$

where $a, b = 1, \dots, n$. Using formulae (2.4), we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \bar{y}} = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \vartheta} \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial \bar{y}} + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \varphi} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{y}} = \frac{1}{\bar{y}} (\sin \vartheta \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \vartheta} - i \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \varphi}) , \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{y\bar{y}} = \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta \varphi} \left| \frac{\partial(\vartheta, \varphi)}{\partial(y, \bar{y})} \right| = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{\sin \vartheta}{y\bar{y}} \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta \varphi} = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{(1 + \cos \vartheta)^2}{R^2 \sin \vartheta} \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta \varphi} \quad (2.19)$$

and finally write the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau equations on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ in the alternative form

$$2i \delta^{a\bar{b}}\mathcal{F}_{z^a \bar{z}^b} + \frac{1}{R^2 \sin \vartheta} \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta \varphi} = 0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \bar{z}^b} = 0 \quad , \quad \sin \vartheta \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \vartheta} - i \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^a \varphi} = 0 . \quad (2.20)$$

The transition to the noncommutative DUY equations is trivially achieved by going over to operator-valued objects everywhere. In particular, the field strength components in (2.20) then read $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{ij} = \partial_{\hat{x}^i} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_j - \partial_{\hat{x}^j} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_i + [\hat{\mathcal{A}}_i, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_j]$, where e.g. $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_i$ are simultaneously $u(k)$ and operator valued. To avoid a cluttered notation, we drop the hats from now on.

3 Generalized vortex equations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$

Noncommutative generalization of Taubes' ansatz. Considering the particular case (2.16) of the $SU(2)$ DUY equations on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$, Taubes introduced an $SO(3)$ -invariant ansatz² for the gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$ which reduces the ASDYM equations (2.16) to the vortex equations on $\mathbb{R}^2$ [5] (see also [21]). Here we extend Taubes' ansatz to the higher-dimensional manifold $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ and reduce the noncommutative³ $U(2)$ Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau equations (2.20) to generalized vortex equations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$, including their commutative ($\theta=0$) limit. In section 4, we will write down explicit solutions of the generalized noncommutative vortex equations on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ which determine multi-instanton solutions of the noncommutative YM equations on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$.

We begin with the $u(2)$ -valued operator one-form $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$. Imposing $SO(3)$ invariance up to a gauge transformation, Taubes [5] found for $n=1$ and $\theta=0$ that the S^2 dependence of $\mathcal{A}$ must be collected in the $su(2)$ matrix

$$Q = i \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta & e^{-i\varphi} \sin \vartheta \\ e^{i\varphi} \sin \vartheta & -\cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix} = i (\sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \sigma_1 + \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \sigma_2 + \cos \vartheta \sigma_3) \quad (3.1)$$

and its differential dQ . Note that $Q^2 = -1$ and $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \varphi} = -\sin \vartheta Q \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \vartheta}$. Our slight generalization of his ansatz to $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$ reads ($\mathbf{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$)

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \{ (iQ - \gamma \mathbf{1})A + (\phi_1 - 1)QdQ + \phi_2 dQ \}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the constant γ parametrizes the additional $u(1)$ piece. The one-form $A = A_\mu(x)dx^\mu$ with $A_\mu \in u(1) \cong i\mathbb{R}$ and $\mu=1, \dots, 2n$ is antihermitian while $\phi_{1,2} = \phi_{1,2}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ are hermitian, all being operators in $\mathcal{H}$ only. Note that this form reduces the nonabelian connection $\mathcal{A}$ to the abelian objects (A, ϕ_1, ϕ_2) whose noncommutative character thus does not interfere with the $u(2)$ structure. Calculation of the curvature

$$\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\vartheta} dx^\mu \wedge d\vartheta + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\varphi} dx^\mu \wedge d\varphi + \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi \quad (3.3)$$

for $\mathcal{A}$ of the form (3.2) yields

$$2\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = iQ(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - \gamma[A_\mu, A_\nu]) - \gamma \mathbf{1}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2\gamma}[A_\mu, A_\nu]), \quad (3.4)$$

$$4\mathcal{F}_{\mu\vartheta} = \left\{ Q(2\partial_\mu \phi_1 + iA_\mu \phi_2 + i\phi_2 A_\mu - \gamma[A_\mu, \phi_1]) + \mathbf{1}(2\partial_\mu \phi_2 - iA_\mu \phi_1 - i\phi_1 A_\mu - \gamma[A_\mu, \phi_2]) \right\} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \vartheta}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$4\mathcal{F}_{\mu\varphi} = \left\{ Q(2\partial_\mu \phi_1 + iA_\mu \phi_2 + i\phi_2 A_\mu - \gamma[A_\mu, \phi_1]) + \mathbf{1}(2\partial_\mu \phi_2 - iA_\mu \phi_1 - i\phi_1 A_\mu - \gamma[A_\mu, \phi_2]) \right\} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$2\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} = \left\{ Q(1 - \phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2) + \mathbf{1}[\phi_1, \phi_2] \right\} \sin \vartheta. \quad (3.7)$$

In the complex coordinates (2.3) with $A_{z^a} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{2a-1} + iA_{2a})$ and $A_{\bar{z}^a}^\dagger = -A_{z^a}$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{2a-1 \ 2a} = -Q(\partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}^a} - \partial_{\bar{z}^a} A_{z^a} - \gamma[A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}^a}]) - i\gamma \mathbf{1}(\partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}^a} - \partial_{\bar{z}^a} A_{z^a} - \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2\gamma}[A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}^a}]) \quad (3.8)$$

which agrees with $2i\mathcal{F}_{z^a \bar{z}^a}$.

²Similarly, Witten's ansatz [19] for gauge fields on $\mathbb{R}^4$ reduces (2.16) to the vortex equations on the hyperbolic space H^2 (cf. [20] for the noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4$).

³As it is well known [2], in the noncommutative case one should use $U(2)$ instead of $SU(2)$.

Vortex-type equations in $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$. Introducing $\phi := \phi_1 + i\phi_2$ and substituting (3.7) and (3.8) into the first equation from (2.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta^{a\bar{b}} \left\{ Q(\partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{z^a} - \gamma[A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}^b}]) + i\gamma \mathbf{1}(\partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{z^a} - \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2\gamma}[A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}^b}]) \right\} + \\ + \frac{1}{4R^2} (Q(2 - \phi\phi^\dagger - \phi^\dagger\phi) + i\mathbf{1}[\phi, \phi^\dagger]) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

which splits into the two equations

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}} \left\{ \partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{z^a} - \gamma[A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}^b}] \right\} = \frac{1}{4R^2} (2 - \phi\phi^\dagger - \phi^\dagger\phi) , \quad (3.10)$$

$$\gamma \delta^{a\bar{b}} \left\{ \partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{z^a} - \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2\gamma}[A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}^b}] \right\} = \frac{1}{4R^2} [\phi, \phi^\dagger] \quad (3.11)$$

after separating into the $su(2)$ (proportional to Q) and $u(1)$ (proportional to $i\mathbf{1}$) components.

The second equation from (2.20) can be written as

$$Q(\partial_{\bar{z}^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{\bar{z}^a} - \gamma[A_{\bar{z}^a}, A_{\bar{z}^b}]) + i\gamma \mathbf{1}(\partial_{\bar{z}^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{\bar{z}^a} - \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2\gamma}[A_{\bar{z}^a}, A_{\bar{z}^b}]) = 0 . \quad (3.12)$$

After some algebra, using (3.5) and (3.6), we find that the third equation from (2.20) is equivalent to

$$2\partial_{\bar{z}^a} \phi + (1-\gamma)A_{\bar{z}^a} \phi + (1+\gamma)\phi A_{\bar{z}^a} = 0 . \quad (3.13)$$

Let us consider the commutative case $\theta^{\mu\nu} = 0$ and put $\gamma = 0$. Then the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau equations on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ for $\mathcal{A}$ defined in (3.2) reduce to

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}} \left\{ \partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{z^a} \right\} = \frac{1}{2R^2} (1 - \phi\bar{\phi}) , \quad (3.14)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{\bar{z}^a} = 0 , \quad (3.15)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}^a} \phi + A_{\bar{z}^a} \phi = 0 , \quad (3.16)$$

where $\bar{\phi}$ is the complex conjugate of the scalar field ϕ . Equations (3.14)–(3.16) generalize the vortex equations [5] on $\mathbb{R}^2$ to the higher-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

For the noncommutative case $\theta^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ we choose $\gamma = -1$. Comparing (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain a constraint equation on the field ϕ ,

$$2 - \phi\phi^\dagger - \phi^\dagger\phi = -[\phi, \phi^\dagger] \implies \phi^\dagger\phi = 1 , \quad (3.17)$$

and the following noncommutative generalization of the vortex equations in $2n$ dimensions:

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}} F_{z^a \bar{z}^b} := \delta^{a\bar{b}} \left\{ \partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{z^a} + [A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}^b}] \right\} = \frac{1}{4R^2} (1 - \phi\phi^\dagger) , \quad (3.18)$$

$$F_{\bar{z}^a \bar{z}^b} := \partial_{\bar{z}^a} A_{\bar{z}^b} - \partial_{\bar{z}^b} A_{\bar{z}^a} + [A_{\bar{z}^a}, A_{\bar{z}^b}] = 0 , \quad (3.19)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}^a} \phi + A_{\bar{z}^a} \phi = 0 . \quad (3.20)$$

These equations and their antecedent DUY equations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$ are not integrable even for $n=1$. Therefore, neither dressing nor splitting approaches, developed in [22] for integrable equations on noncommutative spaces, can be applied. The modified ADHM construction [6] also does not work in this case. However, some special solutions can be obtained by choosing a proper ansatz as we shall see next.

4 Multi-instanton solutions on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$

Solutions of the constrained vortex-type equations. We are going to present explicit solutions to the noncommutative generalized vortex equations (3.18) – (3.20) subject to the constraint (3.17). The latter can be solved by putting

$$\phi = S_N \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^\dagger = S_N^\dagger, \quad (4.1)$$

where S_N is an order- N shift operator acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{H}$, i.e.

$$S_N^\dagger S_N = 1 \quad \text{while} \quad S_N S_N^\dagger = 1 - P_N, \quad (4.2)$$

with P_N being a hermitean rank- N projector: $P_N^2 = P_N = P_N^\dagger$.

It is convenient to introduce the operators

$$X_{z^a} = A_{z^a} + \theta_{a\bar{b}} \bar{z}^{\bar{b}} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} = A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} + \theta_{\bar{a}b} z^b \quad (4.3)$$

in terms of which

$$F_{z^a \bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} = [X_{z^a}, X_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}] + \theta_{a\bar{b}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}} z^b} = [X_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}, X_{z^b}]. \quad (4.4)$$

We now employ the shift-operator ansatz (see e.g. [7, 23])

$$X_{z^a} = \theta_{a\bar{b}} S_N \bar{z}^{\bar{b}} S_N^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} = \theta_{\bar{a}b} S_N z^b S_N^\dagger \quad (4.5)$$

for which

$$F_{z^a \bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} = \theta_{a\bar{b}} P_N = \delta_{a\bar{b}} \frac{P_N}{2\theta^a} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}} z^b} = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

since $\theta_{a\bar{b}} = \frac{\delta_{a\bar{b}}}{2\theta^a}$. After substituting (4.1) and (4.6) into the first vortex equation (3.18), we obtain the condition

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}} \theta_{a\bar{b}} P_N = \frac{1}{4R^2} P_N \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\theta^1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\theta^n} = \frac{1}{2R^2}. \quad (4.7)$$

The remaining vortex equations (3.19) and (3.20) are identically satisfied by (4.1) and (4.6).

Hence, for $\gamma = -1$ we have established on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ a whole class of noncommutative constrained vortex-type configurations

$$A_{z^a} = \theta_{a\bar{b}} (S_N \bar{z}^{\bar{b}} S_N^\dagger - \bar{z}^{\bar{b}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = S_N, \quad (4.8)$$

parametrized by shift operators S_N . Our particular form (3.2) for $\mathcal{A}$ then yields a plethora of solutions to the noncommutative DUY equations on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$. These configurations generalize U(2) multi-instantons from $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$ to $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$. To substantiate this interpretation we finally calculate their topological charge.

Topological charge. For $\gamma = -1$, from (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} = \frac{1}{4}(Q - i\mathbf{1}) \sin \vartheta P_N \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{2a-1 \ 2a} = (i\mathbf{1} - Q)F_{z^a \bar{z}^a} = (Q - i\mathbf{1}) \frac{P_N}{2\theta^a}. \quad (4.9)$$

Employing

$$(Q - i\mathbf{1})^{n+1} = (-2i)^n(Q - i\mathbf{1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}_{2 \times 2}(Q - i\mathbf{1}) = -2i \quad (4.10)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{2 \times 2} \underbrace{\mathcal{F} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}}_{n+1} &= (n+1)! \text{tr}_{2 \times 2} \mathcal{F}_{12} \mathcal{F}_{34} \dots \mathcal{F}_{2n-1 \ 2n} \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{2n} \wedge d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi \\ &= (n+1)! \frac{(-2i)^{n+1}}{2^{n+2}} \frac{P_N}{\prod_{a=1}^n \theta^a} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{2n} \wedge \sin \vartheta d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

With this, the topological charge indeed becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &:= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{n+1} \left(\prod_{a=1}^n 2\pi\theta^a \right) \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \int_{S^2} \text{tr}_{2 \times 2} \underbrace{\mathcal{F} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}}_{n+1} \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{n+1} \frac{(-2i)^{n+1}}{2^{n+2}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^n 2\pi\theta^a \right) \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{P_N}{\prod_{a=1}^n \theta^a} \right) \int_{S^2} \sin \vartheta d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} (\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} P_N) \int_{S^2} \sin \vartheta d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi = N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

5 Concluding remarks

By solving the noncommutative Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau equations we have presented explicit $U(2)$ multi-instantons on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n} \times S^2$ which are uniquely determined by abelian vortex-type configurations on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$. The existence of these solutions required the condition (4.7) relating the S^2 -radius R to θ via $R = (2 \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta^a})^{-1/2}$. We see that any commutative limit ($\theta^a \rightarrow 0$) forces $R \rightarrow 0$ as well, and the configuration becomes localized in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (for $n=1$) or disappears (for $n>1$). The moduli space of our N -instanton solutions is that of rank- N projectors in the n -oscillator Fock space.

Since standard instantons localize all compact coordinates in the ambient space they have been interpreted as sub-branes inside Dp -branes [1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The presence of an NS background B -field deforms such configurations noncommutatively. In the same vein, the solutions presented in this letter may be viewed as a collection of N sub-branes of codimension $2n$, i.e. as $D(p-2n)$ -branes located inside two coincident Dp -branes, with all branes sharing a common two-sphere S_R^2 .

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to A.D. Popov for fruitful discussions and for reading the manuscript. O.L. wishes to thank B.-H. Lee for discussions. T.A.I. acknowledges the Heisenberg-Landau Program for partial support and the Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hannover for its hospitality. This work was partially supported by grant LE-838/7 within the framework of the DFG priority program (SPP 1096) in string theory.

References

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **9909** (1999) 032 [hep-th/9908142].
- [2] J.A. Harvey, hep-th/0102076;
M.R. Douglas and N.A. Nekrasov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73** (2002) 977 [hep-th/0106048];
A. Konechny and A. Schwarz, Phys. Rept. **360** (2002) 353 [hep-th/0107251].
- [3] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.S. Schwarz and Y.S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. B **59** (1975) 85.
- [4] E.B. Bogomolny, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **24** (1976) 449;
M.K. Prasad and C.M. Sommerfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35** (1975) 760.
- [5] C.H. Taubes, Commun. Math. Phys. **72** (1980) 277; Commun. Math. Phys. **75** (1980) 207.
- [6] N. Nekrasov and A. Schwarz, Commun. Math. Phys. **198** (1998) 689 [hep-th/9802068].
- [7] D.J. Gross and N.A. Nekrasov, JHEP **0103** (2001) 044 [hep-th/0010090].
- [8] D.P. Jatkar, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, JHEP **0009** (2000) 018 [hep-th/0007078];
D. Bak, Phys. Lett. B **495** (2000) 251 [hep-th/0008204];
D. Bak, K.M. Lee and J.H. Park, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 125010 [hep-th/0011099].
- [9] M. Hamanaka, hep-th/0303256.
- [10] M. Mihailescu, I.Y. Park and T.A. Tran, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 046006 [hep-th/0011079];
E. Witten, JHEP **0204** (2002) 012 [hep-th/0012054].
- [11] P. Kraus and M. Shigemori, JHEP **0206** (2002) 034 [hep-th/0110035];
M. Hamanaka, Y. Imaizumi and N. Ohta, Phys. Lett. B **529** (2002) 163 [hep-th/0112050];
D.S. Bak, K.M. Lee and J.H. Park, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 025021 [hep-th/0204221];
Y. Hiraoka, hep-th/0205283; hep-th/0301176.
- [12] N.A. Nekrasov, hep-th/0203109.
- [13] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D.B. Fairlie and J. Nuyts, Nucl. Phys. B **214** (1983) 452.
- [14] R.S. Ward, Nucl. Phys. B **236** (1984) 381.
- [15] D.B. Fairlie and J. Nuyts, J. Phys. A **17** (1984) 2867;
S. Fubini and H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. B **155** (1985) 369;
T.A. Ivanova and A.D. Popov, Lett. Math. Phys. **24** (1992) 85;
Theor. Math. Phys. **94** (1993) 225;
E.G. Floratos and G.K. Leontaris, math-ph/0011027.
- [16] S.K. Donaldson, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **50** (1985) 1; Duke Math. J. **54** (1987) 231.
- [17] K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986) 257.
- [18] A.D. Popov, A.G. Sergeev and M. Wolf, hep-th/0304263.
- [19] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 121.
- [20] D.H. Correa, E.F. Moreno and F.A. Schaposnik,
Phys. Lett. B **543** (2002) 235 [hep-th/0207180].
- [21] P. Forgacs and N.S. Manton, Commun. Math. Phys. **72** (1980) 15.
- [22] O. Lechtenfeld and A.D. Popov, JHEP **0111** (2001) 040 [hep-th/0106213];
Phys. Lett. B **523** (2001) 178 [hep-th/0108118]; JHEP **0203** (2002) 040 [hep-th/0109209];
Z. Horvath, O. Lechtenfeld and M. Wolf, JHEP **0212** (2002) 060 [hep-th/0211041].
- [23] M. Aganagic, R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla and A. Strominger,
JHEP **0104** (2001) 001 [hep-th/0009142];
J.A. Harvey, P. Kraus and F. Larsen, JHEP **0012** (2000) 024 [hep-th/0010060].